

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NICHOLAS HAMMAN,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0115 LKK GGH P

vs.

C. FINN, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed February 8, 2005, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On March 15, 2005, plaintiff was re-served with this order to his most current address. That period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed.
See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: 5/3/05

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:035
hamm0115.fta